SCHOOL BOARD OF SARASOTA COUNTY, FLORIDA
PROJECT ASSIGNMENT SHEET
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES SELECTION COMMITTEE

DATE: 6/12/2008    PROJECT NO.: DEPT.: Construction & Facilities Services
PROJECT: Professional Engineering – Continuing Contract (Projects: $120-$500K)

The Professional Services Selection Committee, in accordance with School Board Policy 7.71, has selected the top three (3) firms and ranked them as indicated below:

FIRST CHOICE  Engineering Matrix, Inc.
SECOND CHOICE  ME3 Consulting Engineers, LLC
THIRD CHOICE  TLC Engineering for Architecture

Signature of Committee Members

[Signatures]

[Signatures]

[Signatures]
SCHOOL BOARD OF SARASOTA COUNTY, FLORIDA
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES SELECTION COMMITTEE
MAJOR/MINOR & CONTINUING CONTRACT
PROJECT SCORE SHEET

PROJECT: Professional Engineering Services -
Design & Construction Administration Svcs. (1-2 firms)
PROJ #: _______ EST. COST/FEE: $400K/3 years
DEPT.: Construction Svcs. / Facilities Svcs.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope shall be to provide, under the terms
of individual non-exclusive three (3) year continuing contracts,
Design and Construction Administration Services on individual
Owner-assigned Professional Engineering Projects. The
construction costs on the individual owner-assigned professional
engineering projects are estimated to range from $120K-$500K
and may include, but are not limited to, plumbing systems, electrical
systems, equipment replacements, duct replacements, indoor air
quality upgrades, thermal energy storage systems, and controls
systems.

ADVERTISEMENT
DATE: 5/18/2008
PAPER: Sarasota Herald Tribune

MEETING NOTICE
DATE: 6/12/2008
LOCATION: Construction Services Dept.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APPLICANT</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consulting Engineering Associates, Inc.</td>
<td>Tampa</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering Matrix, Inc.</td>
<td>St. Petersburg</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forney Engineering, Inc.</td>
<td>Bradenton</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KTA Group, Inc.</td>
<td>Sarasota</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME3 Consulting Engineers, LLC</td>
<td>Bradenton</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith Seckman Reid, Inc.</td>
<td>Sarasota</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC Engineering for Architecture</td>
<td>Fort Myers</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: The highest score possible for the short-listing portion is 50 (+1 for minority firm) = 51;
The highest score possible for the interview/presentation portion is 70.

SHORTLISTING
DATE: 6/12/2008
COMMITTEE MEMBERS’ SIGNATURES:

INTERVIEW
DATE: ______________________
COMMITTEE MEMBERS’ SIGNATURES:
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